# Highway Hydraulics: Water Shuttle Operations Overview 



## Learning Objectives:

- Describe conditions requiring a water shuttle operation
- Identify basic components of a water shuttle operation
- Describe establishment and operation of:
* Fill site
* Dump site
* Water delivery route
- Identify water tender designs and their features
- Discuss techniques for improving flow rate, efficiency and safety



## Water Shuttles:

 When to Establish?- Needed fire flow (GPM) cannot be met by water carried on first-due apparatus (engines and water tenders)
- Incident is outside hydranted area (or to supplement a weak hydrant system)
- Relay operation would not be
feasible (distance or resource limits;
technical capability)



## Water Shuttle Advantages

- Water delivery rates in excess of 1000 GPM can be achieved
- Flexible and robust way to achieve water supply
- Cost-effective water supply system for rural areas (vs. municipal water system)



## Water Shuttle Challenges

- Requires specialized equipment, training and procedures (SOPs/SOGs)
- Significant pre-incident planning required to be effective
- Risk exposure for firefighters:

Tanker rollovers, dump site backing


## In a water shuttle: Time is Water

Time must be reduced whenever possible, but never at the

## expense of safety



# Implementing an <br> Alternative Water Supply (Video by National Fire Academy) 

## Water Shuttle Components



Suppression Operations


Suppression Operations



Suppression Operations

Dump Site

## Water Shuttle

Drafting
Pumper

Suppression Operations


# Fill Site Operations 



## Water Supply Sources

## What are some potential water sources?

## Water Supply Sources

## Pressurized Sources

- Municipal hydrant systems
- Irrigation pivots
- Elevated on-site tanks



## Static Sources

- Ponds and lakes (natural and man-made)
- Streams (creeks, rivers, etc.)
- Ditches
- Cisterns
- Swimming pools



## Fill Site Time Costs

## Total Fill Site Time $=$ Fill Time + Handling Time

## Fill Time

- Water tender tank capacity
- Water tender maximum fill rate
- Water source fill rate
- Drafting engine/pump flow rate


## Handling Time

- Skill of fill site crew
- Capability of water tender driver
- Fill site design



## Reducing Fill Site Restrictions

Water Source Improvements

- Install dry hydrants, sumps, and other drafting facilitators
- Design dry hydrants to support high flows ( $\mathbf{1 0 0 0}$ gpm minimum)
- Maintain and flow-test dry hydrants once installed


## Reducing Fill Site Restrictions

Handling Time Improvements

- Fill Site Layout: One-way traffic flow and minimize backing
- Use manifold, but only fill one water tender at a time
- Drafting engine/pump capability does not create chokepoint
- Fill site crew is well-trained and experienced
- Automatic Air Primers



## Reducing Fill Site Restrictions

## Fill Time Improvements

- Fill direct to tank if possible, not through a pump
- Ensure tank is properly vented
- Fill from ground level if possible
- Driver should remain in cab, ready to leave - fill site crew does all work



## Dump Site Time Costs

## Total Dump Site Time = Dump Time + Handling Time

## Dump Time

- Water tender tank capacity
- Water tender maximum dump rate


## Handling Time

- Skill of dump site crew
- Capability of water tender driver
- Dump site design/layout
- Water tender dump configuration (side/rear, multiple dumps)



## Reducing Dump Site Restrictions

## Flow Management

- Maximize use of side dumps; minimize need to back tenders
- Don't dump down to the "last drop" - only use most efficient flow
- Favor more efficient water tenders - allow to "leap

frog" those that dump
slower


## Reducing Dump Site Restrictions

## Handling Time Improvements

- Dump Site Layout: One-way traffic flow and minimize backing
- Set up adequate drop tanks to handle flow
- Dump site crew well-trained and experienced



## Reducing Dump Site Restrictions

## Dump Time Improvements

- Ensure tank is properly vented
- Use largest possible dump outlet
- Use multiple dump outlets if possible
- Driver should remain in cab, ready to leave - dump site crew does all work



## Reducing Dump Site Restrictions



## Reducing Dump Site Restrictions

Single-Lane Tanks (SLT)

- Rectangular shape better for deployment on narrow roadways
- Flange allows low-profile 90degree hookup to pumper



## Reducing Dump Site Restrictions

## Locating Dump Sites

- If possible, pre-plan dump sites for limited-access developments and long driveways
- Be prepared to relay pump
water from dump site to attack engines via LDH
- Dump site operations and water tender traffic will interfere with suppression operations and EMS access




## Shuttle Route Time Costs

## Total Travel Time = Route Distance $\mathbf{x}$ Minutes/Mile

## Route Distance

- Depends on location of water
supply sources (fill sites) and incident (dump site)
- Road conditions (weather)
- Road characteristics (surface, slope, curves, etc.)
- Capability of apparatus


## Route Layout

- One-way (loop) routes
- May be longer, but safety is increased


## Road Conditions

- Shortest route may not be suitable for repetitive tanker traffic

- Use best road for the job, even if longer


## Reducing Travel Time

## More Fill Sites

- The more fill sites available, the less time
water tenders have to spend on the road
- During Incident: Scout for closer water


## supply if possible

- Long-Term: Locate and/or develop as many high-capacity water sources as possible


## "Drive Faster" is NEVER the right answer



## Reducing Travel Time

"Respect the Rig" Water Tender Rollover Case Studies

## Water Tenders



## Water Tender Flow Factors



- Tank capacity (gallons)
- Dump Rate (gpm)
- Fill Rate (gpm)
- Design (conventional vs. vacuum)


## Water Tender Flow Factors



## Tank Capacity

- Rated Capacity vs. Effective Capacity
- Large is not always the best choice - local conditions drive choice
- Larger tanks have longer dump and fill times


## Ohio Fire Chiefs' Association - Emergency Response Plan Water Tender Resource Typing Standard

| Resource Typing Standard |  |  | Shuttle Route Distance (miles) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Minimum Tank <br> Capacity (gal) | Representative Sizes <br> (rated tank capacity) | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 |
| 4 | 1000 | $1000,1200,1500$ | $125-175$ | $75-125$ | $50-100$ | 25 |
| 3 | 1800 | $1800,2000,2200$ | 200 | 150 | $100-125$ | 75 |
| 2 | 2400 | 2400,2500 | 225 | 175 | $125-150$ | 100 |
| 1 | 3000 | 3500,4000 | 250 | 200 | 150 | 125 |

Model Assumptions (Standard Conditions):

- Fill Site: Water source flows 1000 gpm; handling time is one minute (maneuvering, hook-up, etc.); apparatus fills at 1000 gpm
- Dump Site: Handling time is one minute (maneuvering, hook-up, etc.); apparatus dumps at 1000 gpm
- Travel Conditions: Average speed is 35 mph with 0.65 minute modifier added per NFPA 1142


## Water Tender Flow Factors

## Dump Rate

- How quickly can the tank be emptied?
- Make sure large tanks are designed with high dump rates
- NFPA 1901 calls for a minimum of $\mathbf{1 0 0 0}$ gpm
- Considerations:
* Tank design
* Dump chute design
* Dump chute placement
* Dump chute size



## Water Tender Flow Factors



- How quickly can the tank be filled?
- Large tanks should be designed to allow high fill rates
- NFPA 1901 calls for a minimum of 1000 gpm
- Maximum fill rate limited by manufacturer warranty on tanks in many cases


## Water Tender Design

## Conventional Design

- Modern designs use gravity to rapidly dump water via large chutes
- Older designs may use jet assist or pump water off
- May have rated pump (or not)
- Should have rear and side dumps (or directional dump on rear)
- Generally: Effective tank volume is $90 \%$ as dump rate declines with decreased pressure head



## Conventional Water Tenders

## Best Design Practices

- Ability to dump side and rear (or directional dump on rear)
- Multiple side dumps
- Remote-controlled dumps
(driver stays in cab)
- Automatic venting
- Large-diameter, direct-to-tank fill connection
- "Fireman's Friend" type valve on fill connection

- Fill connection accessible from
ground

- $100 \%$ of tank volume usable (effective = rated capacity)
- Consistent dump rate
- Fill site engine not required
- Functionality may offset higher up-front costs


## Effective Tank Capacity



## Keep Water Tenders Moving


$\$ 175,000$ is a lot to pay for a portable tank

# Flow Calculation Exercise 


 Raty 2.2 虫.




# Section 1. Required Water Supply What Do We Need? 

Water Supply Zone:
Maple Ridge Estates subdivision (MRE)

Min. Water Supply: 11,700 gallons Water Delivery Rate: 750 gpm

## 1. Required Water Supply

Water Supply Zone / Incident

## Maple Ridge Estates

Minimum Water Supply

## 11,700

Water Delivery Rate
750

# Section 2. Fill Site Available Water 

Fill Site:
29-A
(Mad River@CR-29)

Exploitable Volume: unlimited

Maximum Flow Rate (FSQ): 1500 gpm


## Section 2. Fill Site (continued)

## Drafting Engine

Drafting Engine:
E-382
(mutual aid pumper)
Engine Flow Rate (EQ): 1,250 gpm

Fill Site Crew Handling Time: 1.5 minutes


## 2. Fill Site

| Fill Site $29-A$ | Drafting Engine E-382 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Exploitable Volume (VEx) <br> unlimited | Engine Flow Rate (EQ) 1,250 |
| Maximum Flow Rate (FSQ) <br> 1500 | Fill Site Crew Handling Time (HTF) $1.5$ |

## Section 3. Dump Site

Dump Site: MRE-1<br>(pre-planned site)

## Dump Site Crew Handling Time: <br> 1.0 minute



## 3. Dump Site

Dump Site

## MRE-1

Dump Site Crew Handling Time (HTD)
1.0

## Section 4. Shuttle Route

Route Name: HT-8
(pre-planned oneway loop)

Total Distance: 4.9 miles

Travel Speed: 35 mph


## 4. Shuttle Route

| Route | Travel Time (TR) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4.9 | 1.7 |
| Total Distance (miles) | Distance x | $(60 / \mathrm{mph})$ |
| 4.9 |  |  |
| Travel Speed (mph) | 8.4 minutes |  |
| 35 |  |  |  |

## Section 5. Water Tenders <br> First Alarm Assignment

| Unit | Nominal Tank <br> Capacity (gal) | Design | Fill Rate (gpm) | Dump Rate <br> $(\mathrm{gpm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tanker 111 | 1,814 | Vacuum | 844 | 1,400 |
| Tanker 21 | 1,994 | Conventional | 814 | 3,365 |
| Tanker 81 | 2,306 | Conventional | 860 | 1,186 |

## 5. Water Tenders



## Section 6. Fill Site Time

## Handling Time + Fill Time

## Restricted Fill Rate:

What is the chokepoint?

- Fill Site Maximum Flow Rate (1500 gpm)
- Engine Flow Rate (1250 gpm)
- Tanker Rate of Fill (depends on unit)

Fill Time (minutes):
Adjusted Tank Capacity $\div$ Restricted Fill Rate

Fill Site Handling Time (minutes):

- Time to maneuver, hook up, etc.
- Same for all water tenders


6. Fill Site Time

|  | Fill Time | Handling Time <br> HTF | Total Fill Time (T <br> (V/FSR)+HTF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 844 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 3.6 |
| 814 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 3.7 |
| 860 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 3.9 |

## Section 7. Dump Site Time Handling Time + Dump Time

Dump Time (minutes):
Adjusted Tank Capacity $\div$ Dump Rate
Dump Site Handling Time (minutes):

- Time to maneuver, open dumps, etc.
- Same for all water tenders



## 7. Dump Site Time

| Dump Time <br> (V/RD) | Handling Time <br> HTD | Total Dump Time <br> (V/RD)+HTD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.3 | 1.0 | $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ |
| 0.5 | 1.0 | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ |
| 1.7 | 1.0 | $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ |

## Section 8. Travel Time

## Travel Time (minutes):

- Route Distance x ( $60 \div$ Travel Speed)
- Time required to travel entire route
- Same for all units

8. Travel

Time

Total Travel Time

TR

## 8.4

## 8.4

8.4

# Section 9. Flow Calculations 

## What is the total flow?

## Total Time:

- Fill Time + Dump Time + Travel Time

Continuous Flow Rate (by Water Tender):

- Adjusted Tank Capacity $\div$ Total Time
- Sum all for total shuttle flow (gpm)


## 9. Flow Calculations

$$
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline \text { Total Time (T) } & \text { Continuous Flow } \\
\hline \text { TF+TD+TR } & (\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{T}) \\
\hline 14.3 & 126 \\
\hline 13.6 & 132 \\
\hline 15.1 & 138 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

TOTAL SHUTTLE FLOW (GPM)
396
Cannot exceed Fill Site Flow Rate (FSQ)

## Will This Shuttle Work?

| Requirement | Needed | Delivered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Water Supply <br> (gallons) | 11,700 | Unlimited |
| Water Delivery Rate <br> (gpm) | 750 | $396 \times$ |

What can we change?

## More Water Tenders?

| Needed | Delivery Rate |
| :---: | :---: |
| Current | 396 gpm |
| Add Two x 2,000 gallon | 644 gpm <br> (+248 gpm $/+63 \%)$ <br> Each adds 124 gpm |
|  | 762 gpm <br> (+366 gpm $/+92 \%)$ <br> Each adds 183 gpm |

## Work Harder?

| Needed | Delivery Rate |
| :---: | :---: |
| Current Fill Site Handling <br> Time: 1.5 minutes | 396 gpm |
| Decrease to 0.5 minutes | 426 gpm <br> $(+30 \mathrm{gpm} /+8 \%)$ |

## Drive Faster?

| Needed | Delivery Rate |
| :---: | :---: |
| Current: 35 mph | 396 gpm |
| Increase to 45 mph | 455 gpm <br> (+59 gpm / +15\%) |
| Increase to 55 mph | 503 gpm <br> $(+107 \mathrm{gpm} /+27 \%)$ |

## Closer Fill Site?

| Needed | Delivery Rate |
| :---: | :---: |
| Current: 4.9 miles | 396 gpm |
| Decrease to 2.5 miles | 555 gpm <br> $(+159 \mathrm{gpm} /+40 \%)$ |
| Decrease to 1.0 mile | 743 gpm <br> $(+347 \mathrm{gpm} /+88 \%)$ |

## What's the Right Answer?

## Need 354 gpm more

| Change | Result | Risk | Difficulty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current | 396 gpm |  |  |
| Add Two $\times 2,000$ gallon | +248 gpm | Low | Moderate |
| Add Two $\times 3,500$ gallon | +366 gpm | Low | Moderate |
| Fill Site Handling | +30 gpm | Moderate | Moderate |
| Drive Faster ( 45 mph ) | +59 gpm | High | Low |
| Drive a lot Faster ( 55 mph ) | +107 gpm | Very High | Low |
| Closer Fill Site | +159 gpm | Low | High |
| A Lot Closer Fill Site | +347 gpm | Low | High |

## Ohio Fire Chiefs’ Association



## Water Supply Technical Advisory Committee

http://www.ohiofirechiefs.org/aws/OFCA/pt/sp/water_TAC

